WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

**United States of America** 

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

Alberto Aquino-Caballero				Case Number:	16-01059MJ-001		
			e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 ablished: (Check one or both, as applic		n submitted. I conclude that the		
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
Ă		by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)		18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maximu	ım sentence is life imprisonment	or death.		
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten yea	ars or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed afte offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	r the defendant had been convic § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparal	ted of two or more prior federal ble state or local offenses.		
			any felony that involves a minor device (as those terms are define to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any other o	ssion or use of a firearm or destructive dangerous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S releas	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S convic	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	will rea	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant ha not rebutted this presumption.				
			Alte	ernative Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the defend	ant has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of imp	orisonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in1		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a)	, or 2332b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for v prescribed.	which a maximum term of impris	onment of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor victi	m under section	.2		
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the presu	umption established by finding 1	that no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$ 

## Case 2:16-cr-00339-SMM Document 3 Filed 02/01/16 Page 2 of 3

evidence as to danger that:		Alternative Findings
There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intmidate a prospective witness or juror).  PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	(1)	
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as epplicable.)   I find that the credible testimony and information* submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that:    I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:   The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.   The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States lilegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.   The defendant has no resources in the United States or in the District of Arizona.   The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.   The defendant has a prior criminal history.   The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.   The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeling from law enforcement.   The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  (1) I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that:  (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(3)	
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  (1) I find that the credible testimony and information³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that:  (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(4)	
(Check one or both, as applicable.)  I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that:  I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lise an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
evidence as to danger that:		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	Ж	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	Ø	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	ortro	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant lives and works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	ΥZÍ	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
·		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## 

	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On f a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court ding.
	PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
District from the objection	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility or a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days a date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written in swith the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. FED.R.CRIM.P.
Pretrial intervie	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to w and investigate the potential third party custodian.
DATE:	February 1, 2016  JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge